COMPOSITES AFFORDABILITY INITIATIVE OVERVIEW

2006 ASIP Conference San Antonio TX 30 Nov 06



Dr. John D. Russell
Materials and Manufacturing Directorate
Richard Holzwarth
Air Vehicles Directorate
Air Force Research Laboratory



OUTLINE




- Introduction/motivation
- Objective and program structure
- Technical achievements
 - Integrated structures
 - Bonded structures
- Technology transition
- Summary



WHY CAI




Unacceptable cost & risk for new structures & applications






RISK REDUCTION FOR AFFORDABLE STRUCTURES





Reliable & Repeatable

VARTM
Co-RTM
Bonding
Fiber Placement



Large Integrated Structures

Bonded Structures

• Innovative Designs: Affordable Structures

Analysis Tools: Design Confidence

• Controlled Processes: Built-in Quality

Quality Assurance: Documented Quality



BUSINESS MODEL




- Funding
 - AF-Navy funded program
 - 50-50 cost share with airframe industry
 - Bell, Boeing, Lockheed Martin, Northrop Grumman
- Technology sharing
 - Pre-competitive technology
 - Government industry integrated product teams
 - Shared data rights among industry partners
- Technology transition
 - Customer involved at all levels of CAI
 - Government and industry
 - Cost share gives industry added incentive to transition



KEY TECHNOLOGIES TO ADDRESS STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY



- Structurally sound bonded joints
- VARTM & Co-VARTM for integrated structures
- Validated analysis methods
- Bondline validation testing
- Quantifiable in-process QA / NDE
- Material & process specifications
- Certification plans
- Guidelines
- Database to support and validate technologies



Establish the confidence to fly large integrated and bonded structures





- Vacuum Assisted Resin Transfer Molding (VARTM)
 - Non autoclave process for making large yacht hulls was transitioned to the aerospace industry (ex. SCRIMP)
 - Uses a lower than atmospheric pressure (typically full vacuum) to pull a liquid resin into a fiber bed.
 - Advantages
 - Autoclave is not needed
 - Reduced capital equipment costs
 - Expands suppler base for part fabrication
 - Typical VARTM resins cure at a low enough temperature to enable the use of inexpensive tooling such as medium density fiberboard





- CAI has significantly reduced the risk on VARTM
 - Part sizes over 50 ft²
 - Aerospace quality fiber volumes
 - High consistency (per ply thickness)
 - EX1510, SI-ZG-5A, and VRM-34 resins








AEROSPACE QUALITY COMPOSITES
WITHOUT AN AUTOCLAVE





- "Pi" Joint
 - Provides structural redundancy
 - Acts as two independent bondlines
 - Stronger than a double lap shear joint
 - Takes advantage of the excellent shear properties of EA 9394 adhesive, the pi joint of the material
 - EA 9394 performs better in shear than in tension loaded bonds
 - Paves the way for much reduced assembly times
 - Determinate assembly feature
 - Minimizes outtime issues when compared to faying surface bonds
 - Eliminates need for verifilm to correct tolerances







BONDED STRUCTURES DEMONSTRATIONS



















- CAI has significantly reduced the risk on bonded structures (pi joints)
 - Easier and much faster to assemble structures than with faying surface bonds
 - Eliminates verifilm checks, adhesive out time issues
 - Robust (effects of defects), predictable performance
 - Room temperature paste bond is stronger than composite base material
 - Demonstrated a ballistically survivable, mostly composite wingbox
 - Demonstrated manufacturing of several realistic structures with bonding
 - Enables a significant reduction in the number of fasteners from the outer mold line
 - ASC/EN, NAVAIR, FAA concurrence on certification methods for large integrated/bonded structures





Tools

- Conventional analysis methods for bonded joints were limited in capabilities and accuracy
 - A4EI only applicable to adhesive failures in shear-loaded joints, does not account for peel stresses or for potential adherend failures
 - Only alternative to these limitations has been to develop detailed finite element models of a joint
 - Time consuming
 - Requires great skill and care
 - Small errors in modeling can lead to substantial errors in joint performance prediction
- No NDE technique to assess the strength of a bonded joint
 - Detect "kissing" bonds
- Weight based cost models can't accurately/credibly estimate the cost of composite structures manufactured w/ emerging technologies





Advanced Tools

- Demonstrated ability to measure the strength of bonded joints via non-destructive inspection
 - 2 SBIRs ongoing to build a system
- Validated improved structural analysis tools for bonded joints
 - Static and DaDT
- Validated an advanced bottoms-up cost analysis tool for improved accuracy on new processes

Database

- Gathered a comprehensive database with pedigree attached
- Standing up AMMTIAC as the permanent host





TECHNOLOGY GUIDELINE MANUALS

Design and Manufacturing

- Pi Preform Design
- Pi Preform Allowables
- Back-to-Back L
- Aluminum-Composite Bonding
- Pi Preform Cobonded Structure Process
- Pi Preform Cocured Structure Process
- VARTM Process
- Stitching
- Pi Joint Design and Manufacturing (*)
- Secondary Bonded Structure Process (*)
- Damage Level and Types (*)
- K-Spar and T-Joint (*)

Analytical Methods

- Durability and Damage Tolerance (DaDT)
- StressCheck Analysis and Modeling Guide
- Strain Invariant Failure Theory (SIFT) (*)

Quality Assurance

- Bonded Joint Evaluation
- Bonding QA Process Control
- Angle Beam Ultrasonic Spectroscopy (ABUS)
- Composite Detailed UT Evaluation
- Laser UT
- Laser Bond Inspection Local Proof Test
- Surface Preparation Procedures
- Surface Wetting Tension
- Surface Texture Measurement
- Solvent Wipe FTIR Surface Measurement
- Wing Witness Proof Test
- Field Inspection Guidelines

Repair Methods

- Bonded Wing Repair Concepts
- 3-D Pi Preform Bonded Joint Repair Concepts

(*) In Review



CAI TECHNICAL SUMMARY




- Significant technical successes
- Large number of demo articles and huge database of test results
- Surprisingly small number of technical failures
 - E-beam, CoVARTM
- Documented procedures on how to employ these technologies
- CAI has significantly reduced the risk on large integrated and bonded structures
- Does industry have the confidence to implement large integrated and bonded structures?





CAI TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION PERVASIVE TOOLS





Advanced cost analysis tools commercialized
Cost estimates validated with actual production
data to prove accuracy of tools
In use to cost numerous DoD weapon systems and
commercial aircraft





Advanced structural analysis tools commercialized In use to design numerous DoD weapon systems and commercial aircraft
Reduced design times, improved design accuracy



CAI TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION C-17







- VARTM, Stitched landing gear doors
- One piece main landing gear doors adopted by C-17
- Eliminates numerous field repair issues
- \$6M life cycle cost savings (before AF decision to limit production to 180 aircraft)



CAI TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION



- No other hardware transitions at this time
 - Parallel path development needs MAJOR cost or performance improvements to replace the baseline
 - Program issues (schedule, cancellation, lack of transition funding, etc.) are impossible for a technology development program to overcome
- Being strongly considered by several companies for new military and commercial applications



WHAT'S LEFT?



- Phase 2 final reports are available to DoD and DoD contractors
- Presentations from the CAI final program review are available to DoD and DoD contractors
- Phase 3 final reports will be available in spring 07



CONCLUSIONS



- CAI has made tremendous technical strides to advance the state of the art in design and manufacture of aircraft structure
- DoD certification community concurs that bonded structure is technically feasible
- CAI has established the confidence to fly large integrated and bonded structures
- In 10 to 20 years, CAI methods will be commonplace for building aircraft structure