

## Assessing the Quality of Bonded Joints

Richard Bossi Boeing Phantom Works Seattle, Washington

ASIP November 28-30, 2006 San Antonio, TX

Contract # F33615-98-3-5103



## •Bonded Joint Quality Assessment

## •Shockwave Method of Bond Strength Measurement

- •Laser Bond Inspection (LBI) Development
- Laser Bond Inspection Device Application



Joint strength is dependent on:

- Quality/chemistry of the adhesive and adherends
- Surface preparation of the adherends
- Application of the adhesive
- Cleanliness of the operation
- Time and temperature control
- Handling/pressure application

# Failure to control all elements above runs the risk of a weak joint.



Joint strength is dependent on:

- Quality/chemistry of the adhesive and adherends
- Surface preparation of the adherends
- Application of the adhesive
- Cleanliness of the operation
- Time and temperature control
- Handling/pressure application

# A nondestructive method to validate the bond strength after assembly and cure would be best.



# Lap Shear Bondline Strength as a Function of Surface Preparation/Contamination



Bond strength variation does not significantly affect the elastic portion of the load displacement (stress vs strain) curve. Therefore strength of the bond will not be indicated by a nondestructive method.



Simple NDE is not able to measure bondline strength directly.

Bonds tested in this program do not show a significant variation in the elastic portion of the load vs displacement curve as a function of strength.

•NDE techniques can measure parameters or features such a void fraction, wave speed, bulk modulus, thickness, etc, - but not strength.

Mechanical proof testing is the only direct measurement joint strength.

But, low strain testing of bonds using shock waves could also be used as a localized proof test of the bondline.



#### Shockwave method of bond strength measurement



• CAI studies with shock waves, have shown that stress waves (low strain) can generate a tensile load at the bond to measure the bond strength at a localized test zone.

•E-beam, mechanical impact and laser shock methods were tested.

•Test results show excellent sensitivity of the laser based dynamic strength measurement to variations in bond conditions including small changes in surface preparation, materials or contamination.

•Apply as a process control tool.

•Apply during manufacture or in-service as a weak bond detection system for product acceptance. (Localized proof test, nondestructive to strong bonds, destructive to weak bonds locally)



## Pulsed Laser Method Injects Compressive Stress From One Surface





1. Laser produces pressure pulse in surface overlay structure



Requires a high peak power, short pulse laser (i.e. 50 J in 100 to 300 ns)
With a large diameter (1 cm +) beam



### CTH Hydrodynamic 2D Code Simulation of 19 mm Al Thick Specimen





# CTH Hydrodynamic 2D Code Simulation of Al Specimen





#### •Test zone is localized to the beam diameter.



 Beam size and pulse width make a difference on peak stress Diameter ≥ the object thickness evens the stress distribution, but it is not essential for testing.



# •Pulse width – this is a dynamic test and dynamic strength is greater than static strength.





•Need a free back surface – there may be a thickness limitations due to beam attenuation.

23 mm thick sample – 16 mm skin with 7 mm stringer flange





#### •There is a fatigue type of effect

– test at <70% of failure load.</p>





### Laser Bond Inspection (LBI) Development



#### Laser Bond Inspection Laboratory Equipment



Boeing Laser at LSP Technologies in Dublin, OH Experiments performed by Craig Walters



#### Velocity Measurement Calibrates Stress and Indicates Delamination





#### Ultrasound Measurement Indicates Post Test Condition

8-256 CN-16 As –tooled DCB specimen

1,179 ng/cm<sup>2</sup>







#### Laser Pulse Energy for Bond Failure in Weak and Strong Paste Adhesive

Weak EA 9394 Paste Adhesive Mix LT4-11D Weak

Strong EA 9394 Paste Adhesive Mix LT4-4B Standard





## **Micrograph of Film Bond LBID Inspection**

Approximately 0.070 inch





Micrograph of LBI damage •Hot film bond

- •Full strength
- •Easily detected by post test NDI
- •Below rejectable defect size

Micrograph of LBI damage •Hot film bond •Full strength •At detection limit of post test NDI



#### **Paste Adhesive Mixing Discriminated**

#### **Paste Bonded Samples**





### **Surface Preparations Easily Discriminated**



**Paste Bonded Samples** 

Nov/2006 Slide 23

30



### Surface Contamination Effects Readily Detected





### LBI Detection of Incorrect (weak) Peel Ply Surface Prep

**Film Bonded Peel Ply Samples** 1.2 Polyester SRB Nylon 1.0 0.8 **Damage Parameter** DCB G1c **No Surface Treatment** (Controls) 0.50 in\*lbf/in<sup>2</sup> 0.6 DCB G1c DCB G1c 1.30 in\*lbf/in<sup>2</sup> 3.69 in\*lbf/in<sup>2</sup> 0.4 10-ply/10-ply BMS 8-276 0.2 Cytec BMS 5-154 Film WBP Overlay, 300-ns Pulse 0.0 10 12 2 14 16 18 20 6 8 0 4 Fluence (J/cm<sup>2</sup>)



#### Surface Contamination Effects Readily Detected

#### Frekote 1711 Contamination of Film Bonded Peel Ply Samples





#### LBI and DCB results

•LBI can be used as a material test method – results agree with DCB tests, not dependent on edge effects like mechanical tests.





### Laser Bond Inspection Device (LBID)





Components

- Containment of laser beam for delivery and safety
- Process head for surface alignment and overlay
- Front surface velocity diagnostic technique
- Computer control system



#### LBID Prototype



Slide 30



#### **Computer Control**





#### **Laser Bond Strength Test**





#### **Computer Control**

#### Bond OK





#### **Computer Control**

#### **Bond Failed**





- Short pulse, high power laser excitation can be used for measuring bond strength and has been able to identify variations in surface preparation, contamination and adhesive mixing.
- The approach can be used for:
  - Bonding process development and control
  - Nondestructive measure of minimum load carrying capability. (Test below failure level of good structure to detect kissing or weak bonds.)



- Funded USAF SBIR Programs for Laser Bond Inspection with LSP Technologies, Dublin, OH
  - Phase I "Development of a Compact Laser for Damage Detection and the Laser Bond Inspection"
    - Program Status: Completed
  - Phase II "Development of a Compact Laser for Damage Detection and the Laser Bond Inspection"
    - Program Status: In progress
  - Phase I "Advanced Laser Technology for Composite Bond Inspection"
    - Program Status: Completed
  - Phase II "Advanced Laser Technology for Composite Bond Inspection"
    - Program Status: In progress

Contacts: www.lspt.com



For further information contact

•Richard Bossi at 206 544 5885

Acknowledgements

•John Russell, Frances Abrams and Robert Crane – AFRL

•Mike Chapman, Robert Burns, Bill Shepherd, Kevin Housen and Ben Koltenbah – Boeing

•Craig Walters – CWA

•LSP Technologies Inc.