# **Air Force Materiel Command**



## ASIP PANEL SESSION USAF NDI Reliability Improvements

Session Chair

John Brausch System Support NDI Lead Materials and Manufacturing Directorate Air Force Research Laboratory

Integrity - Service - Excellence





### Jeffrey Catron

## Chief Engineer for Maintenance, Tinker AFB

## David Campbell

NDI Program Manager, Tinker Air Force Base

### David Piotrowski Senior NDI Engineer, Delta Airlines

### <u>David Forsyth</u>

Consultant, Texas Research Institute







- Measures ability of the inspection system to detect cracks
- Assumes inspection is accomplished
- Function of:
  - Instrumentation & probe
  - Calibration
  - T.O. procedures
  - Structural location
  - Etc.



- Measures probability inspection is accomplished per validated process/procedures
- Associated with choices or decisions (discrete events) that influence the inspection process
- Examples:
  - Large vs. small area inspections
  - Inspector fatigue influences
  - Correct inspection locations
  - Surface prep
  - Record keeping

### Capability is a function of POD and POI



## POD = a(90/95) = Capability







## a(NDI) = a(90/95) x POI = Reliability





a (flaw size)  $\rightarrow$ 



# a(NDI) = a(90/95) x POI = Reliability





a (flaw size)  $\longrightarrow$ 





- Enforce policy and establish guidance
  - Baseline safety-of-flight (S-o-F) structure NDI requirement...... In Progress
  - Establish NDI capability baseline & best practices...... In Progress
  - Conduct NDI Benchmarking..... In Progress
  - Implement improved NDI analyses for decision making
  - Create NDI guidance to complement MIL-STD-1530C
- Establish effective organization
  - Improve NDI organizational structure ...... In Progress
  - Conduct NDI systems analysis..... In Progress
  - Respond to recommendations from AFIA Eagle Look Study
- Train and educate stakeholders
  - Enhance awareness among program & Mx personnel .....
    In Progress
  - Conduct ALC NDI proficiency testing
- Ensure required inspection capability exists
  - Review and update NDI tech data & data systems...... In Progress
  - Ensure availability of required equipment





- Can we continue to rely on inspections to maintain safetyof-flight structures where cracking exists?
- What is being done, near term, to improve reliability?
- How do we improve POI and reduce human factors variance?
- How can we do a better job estimating inspection capability?
- How do we motivate our inspection force to achieve excellence.

#### **IDENTIFY and IMPLEMENT SOLUTIONS**





# **Moving in the Right Direction**



### Baselining Eddy Current Standard Practices TO-33B-1-2



#### Problem:

Significant variability exists in eddy current inspection procedures across USAF resulting in inconsistent capability from system-to-system.

#### Approach:

- Published standardized eddy current inspection procedures (setup and calibration) USAF wide (TO-33B-1-2).
- Baselining inspection capability for standard practices at the ALC's

#### <u>Impact</u>

- Establishes standard practice throughout USAF
- Reduces cost and time for tech data updates
- Establishes common procedures to develop baseline POD assumptions

#### Establishing a Capability Baseline





## Reducing Inspection Variability

Example: EC Inspection Around Fasteners







## **Reducing Inspection Variability**

Example: EC Inspection Around Fasteners







## **Reducing Inspection Variability**

Example: EC Inspection Around Fasteners







## Improving Eddy Current Probe Designs A Transformation



Extended field compliant surface probes



Conformal/compliant





Reduced Variability + Improved Coverage = Improved POD and POI