

## Establishing Reliability of Inspection Interval for Structures Subjected to Fatigue Loads

Maj ALBERTO W Mello, Ph.D

Maj Abilio N GARCIA, Ph.D

Maj Cesar DEMETRIO Santos, MSc



## Establishing Confidence Level of Inspection Interval for Structures Subjected to Fatigue Loads



## **Objective:**

Determination of the Structure Reliability under fatigue loads, given the fracture mechanics analysis and the NDI Method.



# Motivation

## **ASIP Conference 2004**

One speaker showed concern about DTA philosophy Understandable considering how uncertainties can affect predicted life





Time / cycles / flights



## Outline

- Uncertainties
- Methodology
- Results
- Summary



# Uncertainties



Uncertainties





• Uncertainties

Initial and detectable size Quality Control





σ

Crack is always there Most NDI Method has resolution of 0.05 inch or worse



Uncertainties
Small change in detectable crack
size may be catastrophic









Uncertainties

Comando-Geral de Tecnologia Aeroespacial Instituto de Aeronáutica e Espaço

## Usually, curve shape not a factor









Stress Intensity Range  $\Delta K$ 



Uncertainties

#### Uncertainties in the CGC One simple example





# Methodology



Methodology

- Use of Monte Carlo Simulation With option for Latin Hypercube
  - 1. Initial crack is distributed between the intrinsic flaw defect and the minimum detectable size;
  - 2. All parameters have uncertainties represented by their distribution. Crack growth curve may be preanalyzed and growth rate summarized with just one (normal) distribution;
  - 3. Probability of detection considered cumulative.



Methodology

**Basic process** 





Methodology







Methodology

### Expected Distribution in the H vs Reliability chart – "cascade"





Methodology

## Example of NDE interval characterization

for 99.9% During H<sub>1</sub> with 95% CL





# Results



Results

### T-25 Universal – Basic Trainer

Wing Main Spar

W5 – Spar lower cap (PN 621-510-40)









Results

### Best available data gives:



**NDI: Dye Penetrant** 

90/95% initial detectable size:

SF = 2 →

H = 4,175 FH



Results

Parameter	Unit in mm	Units in inches	
a <sub>0</sub>	0,762	0,030	$-\frac{\left(a-a_{2}\right)}{\left(\lambda-a_{2}\right)^{2}}$
λ	1,65	0,065	$p = 1 - e^{-((a - a_0))/(x - a_0))}$
α	0,50	0,50	

### FAA AC AMJ 25-1309

Qualitative probability	Risk probability by flight hour
Extremelly improbable	10 <sup>-9</sup>
Extremelly remote	10-7
Remote	10 <sup>-5</sup>
Probable	10 <sup>-3</sup>



#### Results







#### Results



Risk from original DTA NDI interval:  $0,006/4175 \sim 10^{-6}$ per flight hour  $\rightarrow$  1 in 1 million  $\rightarrow$  Remote risk





#### Results





# Summary



- Summary
  - Initial crack size and crack growth curve considered to have scatter
  - POD was considered be a function of the NDI method, accessibility and crack size
  - Monte Carlo simulation is used to obtain the inspection Interval, given a desired safety and confidence level

 It is necessary to run the program several times and refine the search according to the aimed reliability



### Establishing Reliability of Inspection Interval for Structures Subjected to Fatigue Loads

Maj ALBERTO W Mello, Ph.D

Maj Abilio Neves GARCIA, Ph.D

Maj Cesar DEMETRIO Santos, MSc